

Todd E. Zenger (*pro hac vice* UT Bar 5238)  
Duren IP, PC  
610 E. South Temple Street  
Suite 300  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102  
801-869-8535  
Email: [tzenger@durenip.com](mailto:tzenger@durenip.com)

Jeffrey J. Whitehead (NV Bar 3183)  
Whitehead & Burnett  
6980 O'Bannon Dr.  
Las Vegas, NV 89117  
702-267-6500  
Fax: 702-267-6262  
Email: [jeff@whiteheadburnett.com](mailto:jeff@whiteheadburnett.com)

*Attorneys for Emanation Communications Group LC*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

LEX VEST LTD, a Nevada limited liability company;  Plaintiff,  v.  EMANATION COMMUNICATIONS GROUP LC, a Utah limited liability company; DOES 1 through 50; and ROE ENTITIES 51 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.	Case no. 2:22-cv-01226-ART-BNW   ANSWER OF EMANATION COMMUNICATIONS GROUP LC  JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
---	---

Defendant Emanation Communications Group LC (“ECG”) hereby responds to Plaintiff’s Complaint (ECF Doc. No. 1). ECG reserves any and all rights to amend this

pleading as permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15. ECG initially responds as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, therefore denies.
2. ECG denies doing business in Salt Lake County, Utah as alleged. ECG admits all other allegations.
3. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, therefore denies.

**JURISDICTIONAL BASIS**

4. Denies complete diversity. Admits amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
5. Denies.
6. Denies.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

7. Admits all allegations except “five (5).”
8. Admits all allegations except “50%.” Section 5.1 states “equal to more than 50%.”
9. Admits.
10. Denies that section 5.4 states that third parties contracting with ECG, such as Plaintiff, are entitled to fully rely upon the representations of Ms. Karony as the Manager of ECG. Admits that section 5.4 contains the quoted words..

1 11. Denies as to “May” time frame, as to “urgent” need and as to “Africa.” Admits  
2 all other allegations.

3 12. Denies that “a particular piece of lab equipment needed to be purchased  
4 immediately for \$20,000.” Admits all other allegations.

5 13. Denies “due to the intellectual property and patent licenses controlled by  
6 Defendant.” Admits all other allegations.

7 14. Admits that in response to its needs for financing to fund the operation of its  
8 research and development of nontechnology the parties prepared loan documents.  
9 Denies all other allegations.

10 15. Admits that Lex Vest agreed to lend ECG up to \$1,000,000 with interest accruing  
11 at 18%. Denies all other allegations.

12 16. Admits the loan to ECG was to be at least a 6-month bridge loan with ECG  
13 agreeing to repay the principal loan with interest and with one \$10,000 loan fee.  
14 Denies all other allegations.

15 17. Admits that an original document entitled Loan Agreement sought to identify  
16 events of default. Denies all other allegations.

17 18. Admits that the parties attempted to identify collateral for the loan. Denies all  
18 other allegations.

19 19. Admits that a document entitled Intellectual Property Security Agreement  
20 contains the word recited with some variations. Denies all other allegations.

21 20. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
22 the allegations, therefore denies.  
23

- 1        21. Admits that the original document entitled Loan Agreement contained such words
- 2                with some variations. Denies all other allegations.
- 3        22. Admits that the original document entitled Loan Agreement contained such words
- 4                with some variations. Denies all other allegations.
- 5        23. Admits that the original document entitled Loan Agreement contained such words
- 6                with some variations. Denies all other allegations.
- 7        24. Admits that milestones would be and were met. All other allegations denied.
- 8        25. Admits that the claims of Mr. Karony, Ronin Energy Group and Ronin Real are
- 9                untenable. Denies all other allegations. Plaintiff knew prior to the original loan
- 10               documents of the threats of Mr. Karony and of his related entities.
- 11        26. Denies.
- 12        27. Denies.
- 13        28. Denies.
- 14        29. Denies.
- 15        30. Denies.
- 16        31. Denies.
- 17        32. Denies.
- 18        33. Denies.
- 19        34. Denies.
- 20        35. Admits that Plaintiff met with Mr. Karony. As to all other allegations, ECG lacks
- 21               knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the
- 22               allegations, therefore denies.
- 23

1           36. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
2           the allegations, therefore denies.

3           37. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
4           the allegations, therefore denies.

5           38. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
6           the allegations, therefore denies.

7           39. Denies.

8           40. ECG reasserts its previous responses as to all preceding paragraphs.

9           41. Denies.

10          42. Denies.

11          43. Denies.

12          44. Denies.

13          45. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
14          the allegations, therefore denies.

15          46. ECG reasserts its previous responses as to all preceding paragraphs.

16          47. Denies.

17          48. Denies.

18          49. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
19          the allegations, therefore denies.

20          50. Admits that the loan documents should be unwound, that the collateral should be  
21          returned albeit to ECG and that Mr. Karony lacks any privity to claims to the  
22          collateral. As to all other allegations, ECG lacks knowledge or information  
23          sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, therefore denies.

1           51. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
2           the allegations, therefore denies.

3           52. Denies.

4           53. Denies.

5           54. Denies.

6           55. Denies.

7           56. Denies.

8           57. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
9           the allegations, therefore denies.

10          58. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
11          the allegations, therefore denies.

12          59. Admits that Mr. Karony has made certain allegations against ECG; ECG denies  
13          all such allegations. Denies all other allegations.

14          60. Admits that Mr. Karony has made certain allegations against ECG; ECG denies  
15          all such allegations. Denies all other allegations.

16          61. Denies.

17          62. Denies.

18          63. Denies.

19          64. ECG lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of  
20          the allegations, therefore denies.

21                           DEFENSES AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

22

23

- 1       A. There has been no material breach by ECG; ECG has fulfilled its obligations in all
- 2       material respects.
- 3       B. Substantial compliance by ECG.
- 4       C. Failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 5       D. First breach of contract by Plaintiff; excused performance of ECG.
- 6       E. Improper notice of breach.
- 7       F. Failure to permit cure of alleged breach.
- 8       G. Failure of consideration.
- 9       H. Frustration of purpose.
- 10      I. Plaintiff's rights under contract, if any, are not yet due or operative.
- 11      J. Material contractual terms are ambiguous, indefinite and/or contradictory.
- 12      K. Lack of meeting of the minds.
- 13      L. Unilateral mistake.
- 14      M. Mutual mistake.
- 15      N. Material misrepresentations by Plaintiff.
- 16      O. Duress.
- 17      P. Undue influence.
- 18      Q. ECG was fraudulently, deceitfully and/or through misrepresentations of Plaintiff
- 19      induced to enter into contract with Plaintiff.
- 20      R. The contracts are invalid.
- 21      S. Collusion.
- 22      T. Improper threat.
- 23      U. The loan agreement should be declared void and/or rescinded.

1 V. Estoppel.

2 W. Laches.

3 X. Unclean hands of Plaintiff

4 Y. Performance of the contract by ECG became impossible and was frustrated due to  
5 Plaintiff's representations, instructions and/or interference with ECG's  
6 performance.

7 Z. Repudiation.

8 AA. Failure to mitigate damages.

9 BB. No loss or damage occurred.

10 CC. Unconscionability, both substantive and procedural.

11 DD. Absent of conditions precedent.

12 EE. Assumption of the risk.

13 FF. Breach of Plaintiff's implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

14 GG. Modification of the terms of the parties' obligations.

15 HH. Waiver.

16 II. Quantum meruit.

17 JJ. Unjust enrichment.

18 PRAYER FOR RELIEF

19 That all claims and relief requested by Plaintiff be denied and dismissed and that  
20 Plaintiff obtain no relief;

21 That Plaintiff be denied all forms of damages;

22 That all declaratory relief requested by Plaintiff be denied;

23 That no attorney's fees be awarded to Plaintiff;



1           That all injunctive relief requested by Plaintiff be denied;  
2           That no costs be awarded to Plaintiff;  
3           That the Court declare that Defendant ECG is not liable for any claim asserted by  
4 Plaintiff in this action;  
5           That Defendant ECG be awarded attorney's fees and costs as permitted by law;  
6 and  
7           That the Court award Defendant ECG other and further relief as the Court deems  
8 reasonable and proper under the circumstances.

9                               REQUEST FOR TRIAL BY JURY

10          ECG requests trial by jury for all issues so triable.

11          DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of September 2022.

12

13

DUREN IP

14

/s/ Todd E. Zenger

15

Todd E. Zenger  
Duren IP, PC  
610 E. South Temple Street  
Suite 300  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102  
801-869-8535  
Email: [tzenger@durenip.com](mailto:tzenger@durenip.com)

16

17

18

19

Jeffrey J. Whitehead  
Whitehead & Burnett  
6980 O'Bannon Dr.  
Las Vegas, NV 89117  
702-267-6500  
Fax: 702-267-6262  
Email: [jeff@whiteheadburnett.com](mailto:jeff@whiteheadburnett.com)

20

21

22

23

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing paper was filed using the Court CMF electronic filing system this 27th day of September 2022, thereby causing service upon the following persons:

Adam R. Knecht  
Jessica Renneker  
Lex Tecnica LTD  
10161 Park Run Drive  
Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89144  
702-241-8585  
Email: [adam@lextecnica.com](mailto:adam@lextecnica.com)  
Email: [jess@lextechnica.com](mailto:jess@lextechnica.com)

Michael R. Kealy  
Ashley Christine Nikkel  
Parsons Behle & Latimer  
50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750  
Reno, NV 89501  
775-323-1601  
Fax: 775-348-7250  
Email: [mkealy@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:mkealy@parsonsbehle.com)  
Email: [anikkel@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:anikkel@parsonsbehle.com)

/s/ Todd E. Zenger